Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/083,845	Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
All Participants: Status of Application: non-final (1) ALEX NOGUEROLA. (2) Donald Lucas. (4) Type of Interview: 1February 2005 Time: Type of Interview: 4Petphonic Yelephonic Yelephonic Yelephonic Yes Telephonic Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: all pending cleims Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		10/083,845	ARMSTRONG, DANIEL	
All Participants: (1) ALEX NOGUEROLA. (2) Donald Lucas. (4)		Examiner	Art Unit	
(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA. (2) Donald Lucas. (4) Date of Interview: 1 February 2005 Time: Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: all pending claims Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753	
(2) Donald Lucas. (4) Date of Interview: 1 February 2005 Time: Type of Interview:	All Participants: Status of Application: <u>non-final</u>			
Type of Interview: 1 February 2005 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: all pending claims Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	(1) ALEX NOGUEROLA.	(3)		
Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: all pending claims Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	(2) <u>Donald Lucas</u> .	(4)	Ŷ.	
Personal (Copy given to:	Date of Interview: <u>1 February 2005</u>	Time:	· .	
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: all pending claims Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App	nt's representative)	The state of the s	
Rejection(s) discussed: none Claims discussed: all pending claims Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.				
Claims discussed: all pending claims Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.	Part I.			
Prior art documents discussed: none Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview		,		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.				
In response to a restriction request Mr. Lucas elected the invention of Group I, claims 1-17, with traverse. Part III. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	Part II.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview 				
directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview	Part III.			
	·		•	
• ·			-	
				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				